



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

J. Math. Anal. Appl. 293 (2004) 532–540

Journal of
MATHEMATICAL
ANALYSIS AND
APPLICATIONS

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa

Almost convergence and a core theorem for double sequences

Mursaleen and Osama H.H. Edely¹

Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh 202002, India

Received 7 March 2003

Submitted by H.R. Parks

Abstract

The idea of almost convergence was introduced by Moricz and Rhoades [Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 104 (1988) 283–294] and they also characterized the four dimensional strong regular matrices. In this paper we define the M-core for double sequences and determine those four dimensional matrices which transform every bounded double sequence $x = [x_{jk}]$ into one whose core is a subset of the M-core of x .

© 2004 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Double sequences; Almost convergence; Strongly regular matrices; Core of a sequence

1. Introduction

A double sequence $x = [x_{jk}]_{j,k=0}^{\infty}$ is said to be *convergent in the Pringsheim sense* or P-convergent if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x_{jk} - L| < \varepsilon$ whenever $j, k > N$ and L is called the *Pringsheim limit* (denoted by $P\text{-}\lim x = L$) (cf. [8]). We will denote the space of P-convergent sequences by c_2 .

A double sequence x is *bounded* if there exists a positive number M such that $|x_{jk}| < M$ for all j and k , i.e., if

$$\|x\| = \sup_{j,k} |x_{jk}| < \infty.$$

E-mail addresses: mursaleen@postmark.net (Mursaleen), osamaedely@yahoo.com (O.H.H. Edely).

¹ Current address: P.O. Box 1070, Taif Teacher College, Deputy for Teacher College, Ministry of Education, KSA.

Note that in contrast to the case for single sequences, a convergent double sequence need not be bounded.

Let $A = [a_{jk}^{mn}]_{j,k=0}^{\infty}$ be a doubly infinite matrix of real numbers for all $m, n = 0, 1, \dots$. Forming the sums

$$y_{mn} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{jk}^{mn} x_{jk},$$

called the A -means of the double sequence x , yields a method of summability. We say that a sequence x is A -summable to the limit s if the A -means exist for all $m, n = 0, 1, \dots$ in the sense of Pringsheim's convergence,

$$\lim_{p,q \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^p \sum_{k=0}^q a_{jk}^{mn} x_{jk} = y_{mn}$$

and

$$\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} y_{mn} = s.$$

A two dimensional matrix transformation is said to be *regular* if it maps every convergent sequence into a convergent sequence with the same limit. In 1926 Robinson [9] presented a four dimensional analogue of regularity for double sequences in which he added an additional assumption of boundedness: A four dimensional matrix A is said to be *bounded-regular* or *RH-regular* if it maps every bounded P-convergent sequence into a P-convergent sequence with the same P-limit.

The following is a four dimensional analogue of the well-known Silverman–Toeplitz theorem [1].

Theorem 1.1. *The four dimensional matrix A is bounded-regular or RH-regular if and only if (see Hamilton [2], Robinson [9])*

- (RH₁) $\text{P-lim}_{m,n} a_{jk}^{mn} = 0$ ($j, k = 0, 1, \dots$),
- (RH₂) $\text{P-lim}_{m,n} \sum_{j,k=0,0}^{\infty, \infty} a_{jk}^{mn} = 1$,
- (RH₃) $\text{P-lim}_{m,n} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |a_{jk}^{mn}| = 0$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots$),
- (RH₄) $\text{P-lim}_{m,n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{jk}^{mn}| = 0$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots$),
- (RH₅) $\sum_{j,k=0,0}^{\infty, \infty} |a_{jk}^{mn}| \leq C < \infty$ ($m, n = 0, 1, \dots$).

Note that (RH₁) is a consequence of each of (RH₃) and (RH₄).

The *core* (or K-core) of a real number sequence is defined to be the closed interval $[\liminf x, \limsup x]$. The well-known Knopp core theorem states as follows (see Knopp [3], Maddox [5]).

Theorem 1.2. *In order that $L(Ax) \leq L(x)$ for every bounded sequence $x = (x_k)$, it is necessary and sufficient that $A = (a_{nk})$ should be regular and $\lim_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| = 1$, where $L(x) = \limsup x$.*

Recently in [7], Patterson extended this idea for double sequences by defining the Pringsheim core as follows.

Let $P-C_n\{x\}$ be the least closed convex set that includes all points x_{jk} for $j, k > n$; then the *Pringsheim core* of the double sequence $x = [x_{jk}]$ is the set $P-C\{x\} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} [P-C_n\{x\}]$.

Note that the Pringsheim core of a real-valued bounded double sequence is the closed interval $[P\text{-}\liminf x, P\text{-}\limsup x]$.

In this regard, Patterson proved the following

Theorem 1.3. *If A is a four dimensional matrix, then for all real-valued double sequences x ,*

$$P\text{-}\limsup Ax \leq P\text{-}\limsup x$$

if and only if

- (1) A is RH-regular and
- (2) $P\text{-}\lim_{mn} \sum_{j,k=0,0}^{\infty,\infty} |a_{jk}^{mn}| = 1$.

In the present paper we define the M-core of a double sequence by using the idea of almost convergence introduced and studied by Moricz and Rhoades [6], and then proved an analogue of Theorem 1.3.

2. Almost convergence and M-core

The notion of almost convergence for single sequences was introduced by Lorentz [4]. Recently Moricz and Rhoades [6] extended this idea for double sequences.

A double sequence $x = [x_{jk}]_{j,k=0}^{\infty}$ of real numbers is said to be *almost convergent* to a limit s if

$$\lim_{p,q \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{m,n \geq 0} \left| \frac{1}{pq} \sum_{j=m}^{m+p-1} \sum_{k=n}^{n+q-1} x_{jk} - s \right| = 0,$$

that is, the average value of $[x_{jk}]$ taken over any rectangle $\{(j, k): m \leq j \leq m+p-1; n \leq k \leq n+q-1\}$ tends to s as both p and q tend to ∞ , and this convergence is uniform in m and n .

Note that a convergent single sequence is also almost convergent but for a double sequence this is not the case, that is, a convergent double sequence need not be almost convergent. However every bounded convergent double sequence is almost convergent.

Using the idea of almost convergence, Lorentz [4] introduced and characterized strongly regular matrices. We say that a matrix A is *strongly regular* if every almost convergent sequence x is A -summable to the same limit, and the A -means are also bounded.

If a double sequence x is almost convergent to s , then we write $f_2\text{-}\lim x = s$ and f_2 for the space of almost convergent double sequences.

In [6], Moricz and Rhoades gave four dimensional analogue of strongly regular matrices as follows.

Theorem 2.1. *Necessary and sufficient conditions for a matrix $A = [a_{jk}^{mn}]$ to be strongly regular are that A is bounded-regular and satisfies the following two conditions:*

$$(MR_1) \quad \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j,k=0,0}^{\infty, \infty} |\Delta_{10} a_{jk}^{mn}| = 0,$$

$$(MR_2) \quad \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j,k=0,0}^{\infty, \infty} |\Delta_{01} a_{jk}^{mn}| = 0,$$

where $\Delta_{10} a_{jk}^{mn} = a_{jk}^{mn} - a_{j+1,k}^{mn}$ and $\Delta_{01} a_{jk}^{mn} = a_{jk}^{mn} - a_{j,k+1}^{mn}$ ($j, k = 0, 1, \dots$).

We define the following. Let us write

$$L^*(x) = \limsup_{p,q \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{m,n \geq 0} \frac{1}{pq} \sum_{j=m}^{m+p-1} \sum_{k=n}^{n+q-1} x_{jk}.$$

Then we define the M-core of a real-valued bounded double sequence x to be the closed interval $[-L^*(-x), L^*(x)]$.

Since every bounded convergent double sequence is almost convergent, we have

$$L^*(x) \leq \text{P-lim sup } x,$$

and hence it follows that $\text{M-core}\{x\} \subseteq \text{P-core}\{x\}$ for a bounded double sequence $x = [x_{jk}]_{j,k=0}^{\infty}$.

We quote here the following useful lemma.

Lemma 2.2 [7]. *If A is a real or complex-valued four dimensional matrix such that (RH_3) , (RH_4) , and*

$$\text{P-lim sup}_{m,n} \sum_{j,k=0,0}^{\infty, \infty} |a_{jk}^{mn}| = M$$

hold, then for any bounded double sequence x we have

$$\text{P-lim sup } |Ax| \leq M (\text{P-lim sup } |x|).$$

3. Main result

Here we prove a core theorem for double sequences making use of four dimensional strongly regular matrices due to Moricz and Rhoades [6].

Theorem 3.1. *For every bounded double sequence x ,*

$$L(Ax) \leq L^*(x) \tag{3.1}$$

(or $\text{P-core}\{Ax\} \subseteq \text{M-core}\{x\}$) if and only if

- (i) $A = [a_{jk}^{mn}]$ is strongly regular and
- (ii) $\text{P-lim}_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j,k=0,0}^{\infty, \infty} |a_{jk}^{mn}| = 1$.

Proof. Necessity. Let us consider a bounded double sequence x to be almost convergent to s . Then we have $L^*(x) = -L^*(-x)$. By (3.1), we get

$$s = -L^*(-x) \leq -L(-Ax) \leq L(Ax) \leq L^*(x) = s.$$

Hence Ax is P-convergent and $\text{P-lim } Ax = f_2\text{-lim } x = s$, and so A is strongly regular, i.e., condition (i) holds.

Since every strongly regular matrix is also bounded-regular, by Lemma 2.2 there exists a bounded double sequence x such that $\limsup |x| = 1$ and $\text{P-lim } Ax = C$, where C is defined by (RH₅). Therefore we have

$$1 \leq \text{P-lim inf}_{m,n} \sum_{j,k=0,0}^{\infty,\infty} |a_{jk}^{mn}| \leq \text{P-lim sup}_{m,n} \sum_{j,k=0,0}^{\infty,\infty} |a_{jk}^{mn}| \leq 1,$$

i.e., condition (ii) holds.

Sufficiency. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find fixed integers $p, q \geq 2$ such that

$$\frac{1}{pq} \sum_{j=m}^{m+p-1} \sum_{k=n}^{n+q-1} x_{jk} < L^*(x) + \varepsilon. \quad (3.2)$$

Now as in [6], we can write

$$y_{MN} = \sum_{j,k=0,0}^{\infty,\infty} a_{jk}^{MN} x_{jk} = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3 + \Sigma_4 + \Sigma_5 + \Sigma_6 + \Sigma_7 + \Sigma_8, \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1 &= \frac{1}{pq} \sum_{m,n=0,0}^{\infty,\infty} a_{mn}^{MN} \sum_{j=m}^{m+p-1} \sum_{k=n}^{n+q-1} x_{jk}, \\ \Sigma_2 &= -\frac{1}{pq} \sum_{j=0}^{p-2} \sum_{k=0}^{q-2} x_{jk} \sum_{m=0}^j \sum_{n=0}^k a_{mn}^{MN}, \\ \Sigma_3 &= -\frac{1}{pq} \sum_{j=p-1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{q-2} x_{jk} \sum_{m=j-p+1}^j \sum_{n=0}^k a_{mn}^{MN}, \\ \Sigma_4 &= -\frac{1}{pq} \sum_{j=0}^{p-2} \sum_{k=q-1}^{\infty} x_{jk} \sum_{m=0}^j \sum_{n=k-q+1}^k a_{mn}^{MN}, \\ \Sigma_5 &= -\sum_{j=p-1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=q-1}^{\infty} x_{jk} \left\{ \frac{1}{pq} \sum_{m=j-p+1}^j \sum_{n=k-q+1}^k a_{mn}^{MN} - a_{jk}^{MN} \right\}, \\ \Sigma_6 &= \sum_{j=0}^{p-2} \sum_{k=0}^{q-2} a_{jk}^{MN} x_{jk}, \\ \Sigma_7 &= \sum_{j=p-1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{q-2} a_{jk}^{MN} x_{jk}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\Sigma_8 = - \sum_{j=0}^{p-2} \sum_{k=q-1}^{\infty} a_{jk}^{MN} x_{jk}.$$

Using the conditions of strong regularity of A , we observe that

$$|\Sigma_2| \leq \|x\| \sum_{m=0}^{p-2} \sum_{n=0}^{q-2} |a_{mn}^{MN}| \rightarrow 0 \quad (M, N \rightarrow \infty),$$

and

$$|\Sigma_6| \leq \|x\| \sum_{j=0}^{p-2} \sum_{k=0}^{q-2} |a_{jk}^{MN}| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{by (RH}_1\text{)},$$

$$|\Sigma_3| \leq \|x\| \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{q-2} |a_{mn}^{MN}| \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$|\Sigma_7| \leq \|x\| \sum_{j=p-1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{q-2} |a_{jk}^{MN}| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{by (RH}_3\text{)},$$

$$|\Sigma_4| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\Sigma_8| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{by (RH}_4\text{)}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} |\Sigma_5| &\leq \frac{\|x\|}{pq} \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \sum_{s=0}^{q-1} \left\{ (p-r-1) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_{10} a_{jk}^{MN}| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (q-s-1) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_{01} a_{jk}^{MN}| \right\} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{by (MR}_1\text{) and (MR}_2\text{)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have by (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} L(Ax) &\leq \limsup_{M,N} \sum_{m,n=0,0}^{\infty,\infty} a_{mn}^{MN} \frac{1}{pq} \sum_{j=m}^{m+p-1} \sum_{k=n}^{n+q-1} x_{jk} \\ &\leq \limsup_{M,N} \left| \sum_{m,n=0,0}^{\infty,\infty} \left(\frac{|a_{mn}^{MN}| + a_{mn}^{MN}}{2} + \frac{|a_{mn}^{MN}| - a_{mn}^{MN}}{2} \right) \frac{1}{pq} \sum_{j=m}^{m+p-1} \sum_{k=n}^{n+q-1} x_{jk} \right| \\ &\leq \limsup_{M,N} \left\{ \sum_{m,n=0,0}^{\infty,\infty} |a_{mn}^{MN}| \left| \frac{1}{pq} \sum_{j=m}^{m+p-1} \sum_{k=n}^{n+q-1} x_{jk} \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|x\| \sum_{m,n=0,0}^{\infty,\infty} (|a_{mn}^{MN}| - a_{mn}^{MN}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now conditions (RH₁), (RH₅) and (ii) yield

$$L(Ax) \leq L^*(x) + \varepsilon.$$

Since ε is arbitrary we finally have

$$L(Ax) \leq L^*(x).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

4. Examples

4.1. Almost convergent sequences

(i) Define the double sequence $x = [x_{jk}]$ by

$$x_{jk} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j \text{ is odd, for all } k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then x is almost convergent to $1/2$.

(ii) Define $x = [x_{jk}]$ by

$$x_{jk} = (-1)^j \quad \text{for all } k.$$

Then x is almost convergent to 0.

4.2. Strongly regular matrix

Define $A = [a_{jk}^{mn}]$ by

$$a_{jk}^{mn} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{m^2} & \text{if } m = n \text{ and } j, k \leq m \text{ (even),} \\ \frac{1}{m^2 - m} & \text{if } m = n, j \neq k \text{ and } j, k \leq m \text{ (odd),} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We can easily verify that A is strongly regular, that is, conditions (RH₁)–(RH₅), (MR₁) and (MR₂) hold. Moreover, for the sequence (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk}^{mn} x_{jk} &= a_{11}^{mm} x_{11} + a_{12}^{mm} x_{12} + \cdots + a_{1m}^{mm} x_{1m} \\ &\quad + a_{21}^{mm} x_{21} + a_{22}^{mm} x_{22} + \cdots + a_{2m}^{mm} x_{2m} \\ &\quad + a_{31}^{mm} x_{31} + a_{32}^{mm} x_{32} + a_{33}^{mm} x_{33} + \cdots + a_{3m}^{mm} x_{3m} \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad + a_{m-1,1}^{mm} x_{m-1,1} + \cdots + a_{m-1,m}^{mm} x_{m-1,m} \\ &\quad + a_{m1}^{mm} x_{m1} + \cdots + a_{mm}^{mm} x_{mm} \\ &= \frac{m}{m^2} \cdot \frac{m}{2} \quad (\text{if } m \text{ is even}) \\ &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{as } m, n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk}^{mn} x_{jk} = \frac{m-1}{m^2-m} \cdot \frac{m+1}{2} \quad (\text{if } m \text{ is odd})$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{as } m, n \rightarrow \infty.$$

That is

$$\text{P-lim } Ax = \frac{1}{2} = f_2\text{-lim } x,$$

and so A transforms almost convergent sequence into convergent (P-convergent) to the same limit.

4.3. Bounded-regular matrix which is not strongly regular

In Section 4.2, A is strongly regular and so bounded regular. Let us define $A = [a_{jk}^{mn}]$ as

$$a_{jk}^{mn} = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{m^2} & \text{if } m = n, j+k = \text{even, and } j, k \leq m \text{ (even),} \\ \frac{1}{m^2-m} & \text{if } m = n, j \neq k \text{ and } j, k \leq m \text{ (odd),} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then A is bounded-regular but not strongly regular. Conditions (RH₁)–(RH₅) can easily be verified. But

$$\lim_{m,n} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{jk}^{mn} - a_{j+1,k}^{mn}| = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

and also

$$\lim_{m,n} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{jk}^{mn} - a_{j,k+1}^{mn}| = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore conditions (MR₁) and (MR₂) do not hold and so A is not strongly regular.

4.4. In Theorem 3.1, strong regularity of A cannot be replaced by bounded-regularity.

Consider the matrix $A = [a_{jk}^{mn}]$ as defined in Section 4.3. This is bounded-regular but not strongly regular, and also

$$\text{P-lim}_{m,n} \sum_{j,k=0,0}^{\infty, \infty} |a_{jk}^{mn}| = 1,$$

i.e., condition (ii) of Theorem 3.1 holds. Take the bounded double sequence $x = [x_{jk}]$ defined by $x_{jk} = (-1)^{j+k}$, which is almost convergent to zero, that is,

$$L^*(x) = 0.$$

Now

$$\sum_{j,k} a_{jk}^{mn} x_{jk} = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{m^2} \cdot \frac{m}{2} \cdot m & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{-1}{m^2-m} \cdot m & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$\limsup_{m,n} \sum_{j,k} a_{jk}^{mn} x_{jk} = 1$$

and

$$\liminf_{m,n} \sum_{j,k} a_{jk}^{mn} x_{jk} = 0,$$

i.e., $L(Ax) = 1$. Hence $L(Ax) > L^*(x)$, that is (3.1) does not hold.

References

- [1] R.G. Cooke, Infinite Matrices and Sequence Spaces, Macmillan, 1950.
- [2] H.J. Hamilton, Transformations of multiple sequences, Duke Math. J. 2 (1936) 29–60.
- [3] K. Knopp, Zur Theorie der Limitierungsverfahren (erste Mitteilung), Math. Z. 31 (1930) 115–127.
- [4] G.G. Lorentz, A contribution to the theory of divergent sequences, Acta Math. 80 (1948) 167–190.
- [5] I.J. Maddox, Some analogues of Knopp's core theorem, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 2 (1979) 605–614.
- [6] F. Moricz, B.E. Rhoades, Almost convergence of double sequences and strong regularity of summability matrices, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 104 (1988) 283–294.
- [7] R.F. Patterson, Double sequence core theorems, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 22 (1999) 785–793.
- [8] A. Pringsheim, Zur Theorie der zweifach unendlichen Zahlenfolgen, Math. Ann. 53 (1900) 289–321.
- [9] G.M. Robinson, Divergent double sequences and series, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 28 (1926) 50–73.